Possible Mechanisms for Functional Antagonistic Effect of Ferula assafoetida on Muscarinic Receptors in Tracheal Smooth Muscle
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Abstract

Background: The contribution of histamine (H1) receptors inhibitory and/or β-adrenoceptors stimulatory mechanisms in the relaxant property of Ferula assafoetida. (F. assafoetida) was examined in the present study.

Methods: We evaluated the effect of three concentrations of F. assafoetida extract (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/mL), a muscarinic receptors antagonist, and saline on methacholine concentration-response curve in tracheal smooth muscles incubated with β-adrenergic and histamine (H1) (group 1), and only β-adrenergic (group 2) receptors antagonists.

Results: EC50 values in the presence of atropine, extract (5 and 10 mg/mL) and maximum responses to methacholine due to the 10 mg/mL extract in both groups and 5 mg/mL extract in group 1 were higher than saline (P < 0.0001, P = 0.0477, and P = 0.0008 in group 1 and P < 0.0001, P = 0.0438, and P = 0.0107 in group 2 for atropine, 5 and 10 mg/mL extract, respectively). Values of concentration ratio minus one (CR-1), in the presence of extracts were lower than atropine in both groups (P = 0.0339 for high extract concentration in group 1 and P < 0.0001 for other extract concentrations in both groups).

Conclusion: Histamine (H1) receptor blockade affects muscarinic receptors inhibitory property of F. assafoetida in tracheal smooth muscle
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Introduction

Asafoetida (F. assafoetida) belonging to the family Apiaceae is the main source of asafoetida which is obtained from the exudates of the living underground rhizome or tap roots of the plant. The other local names for gum-resin are “Anghouzeh”, “Khorakoma”, and “Anguzakoma” (1). It has been used in traditional medicine and cuisine in India and Nepal. F. assafoetida is used in folk medicine for the treatment of epilepsy, stomachache, flatulence, intestinal parasites, asthma, and influenza (2-4) and has aphrodisiac, diuretic and sedative effects (5). Several pharmacological effects such as antioxidant (6), anti-viral (6), anti-fungal (7), cancer chemopreventive (8), anti-diabetic (9), anti-spasmodic (10), and hypotensive (10) have been shown for F. asafoetida. F. asafoetida has been traditionally used for the treatment of asthma and angina pectoris (11), bronchitis, whooping cough and pneumonia in children (12-14).

Ferulic acid esters including; resin , gum fraction including glucose, galactose, l-arabinose, rhamnose, and glucuronic acid, volatile oils including sulphur-containing compounds, free ferulic acid, coumarin derivatives (e.g. umbelliferone), and different monoterpens
are different components of the plant (15). The observed relaxant effect of *F. asafoetida* on tracheal smooth muscle may indicate a bronchodilatory effect (16). The possible mechanisms of the relaxant effect of the plant on tracheal smooth muscle are thought to be mediated through β-adrenoceptors stimulatory (17), muscarinic (18) and/or histamine (H₁) receptors inhibitory (19) effects. The effect of *F. asafoetida* on muscarinic receptors (functional antagonist) in tracheal smooth muscle was also observed (20).

Previously, the functional antagonistic effect of *F. asafoetida* on muscarinic receptors was suggested. In the present study, we examined if blockade of histamine receptors and/or β-adrenoceptors stimulatory of *F. asafoetida* has a role in functional antagonistic effect of the plant on muscarinic receptors.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

Guinea pigs (both sexes, weight; 600–800 g) were purchased from Razi Institute, Mashhad, Iran. They were kept in a temperature controlled animal room maintained at 22 ± 2 °C with access to food and water *ad libitum* during the study period. The guidelines of the Institute of Laboratory Animals Resources, Commission on Life Sciences (21) were followed throughout the experiments. The study was approved by Ethical Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

**Tissue preparation**

Animal tracheal chain was prepared as described previously (22). The tracheal chain was suspended in an organ bath (organ bath 61300, Bio Science, Kent U.K.) containing 10 mL Krebs-Henselet solution as described previously (22,23). Tissues were suspended under an isometric tension of 1 g and Krebs solution was changed every 15 minutes for 1 hour to equilibrate with organ bath condition. Using an isotonic transducer (MLT0202, AD Instruments, Australia) connected to a power lab system (PowerLab 8/30, ML870, AD Instruments, Australia), contractions of tracheal smooth muscle were measured.

**Plant and extract**

From the local market in Mashhad, *F. assafoetida* was purchased, identified and kept with Herbarium number 293-6060-2, Pharmacognosy Department, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. The aqueous extract of the resin was prepared by dissolving 5 g of the crushed resin in 1 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and 20 mL normal saline. The concentration of *F. asafoetida* in the stock solution was 250 mg/mL.

**Protocol**

The effects of the extract of *F. assafoetida* (2.5, 5 and 10 mg/mL), 0.01 µm atropine (Sigma Chemical Ltd, UK) as positive and saline as negative control on muscarinic receptors were examined as described previously (22) by producing cumulative concentrations of methacholine hydrochloride (Sigma Chemical Ltd UK) and measuring the concentration of methacholine causing 50% of maximum response (EC₅₀). To produce concentration-response curve, the percentage of contraction induced by each concentration in proportion to the maximum contraction obtained in the presence of saline was plotted against log-concentration of methacholine. Slope of methcholine concentration-response curves and its maximum responses to in the presence of three concentrations of the extract and atropine were also measured (24). The concentration-ratio-1 (CR-1) as an indicator of competitive antagonism effect, in experiments with parallel shift in methacholine-response curve was also calculated as previously described (24,25).

The study was done in two experimental groups of incubated tracheal smooth muscle which were incubated with; 1) 1 µM chlorpheniramine (Sigma Chemical Ltd UK), an H₁ receptor antagonist and 1 µM propranolol hydrochloride (Sigma Chemical Ltd UK), a β-adrenergic receptor antagonist, (group 1, n = 6) and 2) incubated with only 1 µM propranolol hydrochloride (group 2, n = 7).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were presented as mean ± SEM. We performed paired t tests to compare the mean of EC₅₀, slope and maximum response values among saline and other treatment groups and the mean of CR-1 between the extract and atropine groups. ANOVA with Tukey Kramer post-hoc test were used to compare the mean of measured parameter among group 1, group 2, and the results of previous study (20) as well as the results of three extract concentrations. InStat version 3.00 (GraphPad Software, San Diego California, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The level of significance was set at *P* < 0.05.
Results

Comparison of methacholine concentration-response curves between saline, atropine and extract

In both groups, methacholine concentration-response curves obtained in the presence of two concentrations of the extract (5 and 10 mg/mL) and atropine showed right-ward shift (Figure 1).

Comparison of $EC_{50}$ values between saline, atropine and extract

In both groups, $EC_{50}$ values for methacholine obtained in the presence of atropine and two extract concentrations (5 and 10 mg/mL) were significantly higher compared to saline ($P < 0.0001$, $P = 0.0477$, and $P = 0.0008$ in group 1 and $P < 0.0001$, $P = 0.0438$, and $P = 0.0107$ in group 2 for atropine 5 and 10 mg/mL extract respectively). In group 1, $EC_{50}$ for methacholine in the presence of lower extract concentrations (2.5 and 5 mg/mL) were less than that of the high concentration (10 mg/ml), ($P = 0.0008$ and $P = 0.0029$ for low and medium concentrations respectively). The low concentration of the extract (2.5 mg/mL) caused significantly lower $EC_{50}$ than its high concentration in group 2 ($P = 0.0178$) (Figure 2).

Comparison of maximum response to methacholine among saline, atropine and extract

The $P$ value of 0.001 came from comparing maximum contractile responses to methacholine obtained in the presence of high extract concentration (10 mg/mL) in group 1 and $P$ value of 0.01 in group 2 compared to that of saline. We obtained $P$ value of 0.049 when comparing maximum contractile responses to methacholine in the presence of medium extract concentration (5 mg/mL) in group 1 compared to that of saline (Table 1).

Comparison of the slope of methacholine concentration-response curves among saline, atropine and extract

There was not significant difference in the slopes of methacholine concentration-response curves among different studied solutions and different groups (Table 2).

Comparison of CR-1 values among saline, atropine and extract

The (CR-1) values in the presence of all concentrations of the extract in both groups were lower than those of atropine ($p$ value was 0.0339

Figure 1: Concentration-response curves of methacholin in tracheal smooth muscle, in the presence of three concentrations from F. asafoetida extract, saline and 10 nM atropine. (a) Incubated tissues with 1 µM chlorpheniramine and 1 µM propranolol, (group 1, filled, n = 6). The curves showed right ward in the presence of atropine and two higher concentrations of the extract but maximum response to methacholine in the presence of the extract was not achieved in the presence of high extract concentration. (b) Tissues incubated with propranolol, (group 2, open symbols, n = 7). The curves showed right-ward shift in the presence of atropine and two higher concentrations of the extract and maximum response to methacholine in the presence of the extract was achieved.
**Figure 2:** Methacholine EC<sub>50</sub> values in the presence of three concentrations from *F. asafoetida* extract, saline and 10 nM atropine. (a) Tissues incubated with 1 µM chlorpheniramine and 1 µM propranolol (group 1, filled symbols, n = 6). (b) Tissues incubated with propranolol (group 2, open symbols, n = 7).

* P < 0.05, ** P < 0.001 compared to saline. +++ P < 0.001 compared to atropine. # P < 0.05, ## P < 0.01, ### P < 0.001 compared to high extract concentration (10 mg/mL).

EC<sub>50</sub> values increased in the presence of high extract concentrations and atropine in both groups.

**Figure 3:** The values of (CR-1) in the presence of three concentrations from *F. asafoetida* extract, saline and 10 nM atropine. (a) Tissues incubated with 1 µM chlorpheniramine and 1 µM propranolol (group 1, filled symbols, n=6). (b) Tissues incubated with propranolol (group 2, open symbols, n = 7).

+ P < 0.05; +++ P < 0.001, compared to atropine. ## P < 0.01, ### P < 0.001, compared to high extract concentration (10 mg/mL).
for high extract concentration in group 1 and for other extract concentrations in both groups were <0.0001, Figure 3). The (CR-1) values in the presence of low extract concentration in both groups \(P = 0.0023\) and \(P = 0.0468\) for group 1 and 2 respectively and the medium concentration in groups 1 \(P = 0.007\) were also lower than the high concentration (Figure 3).

**Comparison of EC\(_{50}\), maximum response, slope and (CR-1) among groups 1, 2 and those of previous study**

The values of EC\(_{50}\) obtained in the presence of high extract concentration in group 1 were significantly higher than those of group 2 and previous study \(P = 0.034\) and \(P = 0.047\) respectively; Tables 3 and 4). Maximum response obtained in the presence of high extract concentration in group 1 was lower than that of the group 2 and previous study \(P = 0.015\) and \(P = 0.032\) respectively, Table 3 and 4). The (CR-1) value in the presence of high extract concentration in group 1 was also higher than those of group 2 and previous study \(P = 0.048\) and \(P = 0.014\), respectively; Tables 3 and 4).

**Correlation between extract concentrations and EC\(_{50}\) values**

Significant positive correlations were observed between concentrations of the extract and EC\(_{50}\) in groups 1 \(r = 0.79, P < 0.001\) and group 2 \(r = 0.58, P < 0.01\).

**Discussion**

In previous studies, the relaxant effect of *F. assafoetida* on smooth muscle in the tracheal chain was shown. Different mechanism(s) responsible for the relaxant effect of *F. assafoetida* on smooth muscle (16,26) have been suggested including inhibitory effect on muscarinic and histamine (H\(_1\)) receptors and/or stimulatory effect on \(\beta\)-adrenergic receptors (17–19). Therefore, in the present study, the contribution of (H\(_1\)) receptors

---

**Table 1:** Comparisons of maximum response to metacoline in the presence of *F. asafoetida* extract concentrations (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/mL) and 10 nM atropine with the results of saline in group 1 and 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=6)</th>
<th>P value vs saline</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=7)</th>
<th>P value vs saline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>2.5 mg/mL</td>
<td>98.37 ± 1.45</td>
<td>0.398</td>
<td>98.71 ± 1.28</td>
<td>0.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferula</td>
<td>5 mg/mL</td>
<td>85.50 ± 6.98</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>95.57 ± 4.42</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atropine</td>
<td>10 mg/mL</td>
<td>61.45 ± 5.75</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>84.80 ± 5.04</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94.60 ± 2.71</td>
<td>0.356</td>
<td>98.80 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SEM of data in group 1 (tissues incubated with propranolol and chlorpheniramine) and group 2 (tissues incubated with propranolol). The data were compared between saline and other solutions using paired t test.

**Table 2:** Comparisons of slope of metacoline response curves in the presence of *F. asafoetida* extract concentrations (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/mL), 10 nM atropine with the results of saline in group 1 and 2 as well as between two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solutions</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Group 1 (n=6)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n=7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saline</td>
<td>2.5 mg/mL</td>
<td>0.92.23 ± 0.076</td>
<td>0.97.72 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferula</td>
<td>5 mg/mL</td>
<td>0.95.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.95.63 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atropine</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.99.59 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.93.88 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean ± SEM of data in group 1 (tissues incubated with propranolol and chlorpheniramine) and group 2 (tissues incubated with propranolol). No significance difference was observed among the data of different solution using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc test. There was also no significant difference in slope of the curve between two groups as assessed by independent t test.
inhibitory and/or β-adrenoceptors stimulatory effects to non-competitive muscarinic receptors seen for *F. asafoetida* was examined by plotting concentration-response curves in the presence of saline, extract and atropine in incubated tissues with both chlorpheniramine and propranolol to block (H₁) receptors and β-adrenergic receptors (group 1) and only propranolol, to inhibit β-adrenergic receptors (group 2).

In order to examine the involvement of beta-adrenergic stimulatory effect and/or histamine (H₁) inhibitory effect in functional antagonism effect of the plant at muscarinic receptors (20), in group 1, tissues were incubated with

**Table 3**: Comparisons of EC₅₀, Max (maximum response to methacholine), and (CR-1) values in the presence of *F. asafoetida* extract concentrations (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/mL) between tracheal smooth muscle incubated with propranolol and chlorpheniramine (group 1) and tissues incubated with propranolol (group 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Extract concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 6)</th>
<th>Group 2 (n = 7)</th>
<th>Group 2 vs group 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC₅₀</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.18</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.57</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>98.37 ± 1.45</td>
<td>98.71 ± 1.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>85.50 ± 6.98</td>
<td>95.57 ± 4.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>61.45 ± 5.75</td>
<td>84.80 ± 5.04</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.08 ± 0.36</td>
<td>-0.17 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.25</td>
<td>1.64 ± 0.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.64 ± 1.49</td>
<td>2.50 ± 1.13</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were presented as Mean ± SEM. The data of three groups (groups 1, 2) were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc test.

**Table 4**: Comparisons of EC₅₀, Max (maximum response to methacholine), and (CR-1) values in the presence of *F. asafoetida* extract concentrations (2.5, 5, and 10 mg/mL) between incubated tracheal smooth muscle with propranolol & chlorpheniramine (group 1) and the results of non incubated tissues (previous study) (16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Extract concentration (mg/ml)</th>
<th>Previous study (n = 6)</th>
<th>Group 1 (n = 6)</th>
<th>Group 1 vs previous study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC₅₀</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.41</td>
<td>1.18 ± 0.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.37</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.57</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>97.00 ± 1.43</td>
<td>98.37 ± 1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>93.20 ± 2.26</td>
<td>85.50 ± 6.98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80.20 ± 4.13</td>
<td>61.45 ± 5.75</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR-1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-0.21 ± 0.17</td>
<td>-0.08 ± 0.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.18</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.29</td>
<td>6.64 ± 1.49</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data were presented as Mean ± SEM. The data of three groups (group 1, 2 and previous study) were compared using unpaired one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc test. There was no significant difference between the data of group 2 and previous study.
chlorpheniramine and propranolol. The results of group 1 experiments showed a more marked parallel rightward shift in methacholine-response curves in the presence of high and medium concentrations compared to the shift seen in previous study (20). The shift obtained in the presence of high plant concentration in group 1 was comparable with that of atropine. In group 1, EC$_{50}$ methacholine values due to two higher extract concentrations were greater than the effect of saline. However, (CR-1) values in the presence of these two concentrations of the extract were smaller than that in the presence of atropine. The maximum contraction effect to methacholine with two higher concentrations of the extract was lower than that of saline. The greater EC$_{50}$ and (CR-1) values obtained in this group compared to those of previous study (20), indicate the contribution of β-adrenergic stimulatory and/or histamine (H$_1$) inhibitory effect to the functional antagonism of the plant observed in previous study (27). However, the lower maximum response to methacholine seen in experiments with high concentration of the plant extract in group 1 suggests non-competitive antagonistic effect of the extract on muscarinic receptors in group 1 (27).

In order to examine the influence of stimulatory effect of β-adrenergic or blocking effect of histamine (H$_1$) on functional antagonism seen for the extract at muscarinic receptors in previous study and group 1, the inhibitory effect of propranolol was re-examined in group 2 in tissues incubated only with propranolol. The results of this group were more similar to those of the previous study as compared to data from group 1 of the present study. Although the maximum contractile response to methacholine in the presence of concentration of the plant extract was higher than group 1 but it was not fully achieved in this group. Similar results obtained in group 2 with those of previous study, suggest a histamine (H$_1$) inhibitory effect for the plant rather than a β-adrenergic stimulatory effect. However, stimulatory effect of beta-adrenergic and histamine (H$_1$) inhibitory effect of the extract should be evaluate in future studies by performing concentration-response curves to β- and histamine (H$_1$) receptors agonists with plant extract and evaluate the shift in concentration-response curves to respected agonist. In addition, the relaxant effect of the plant on tissues incubated with chlorpheniramine was reduced which suggest a histamine (H$_1$) inhibitory effect for the plant (16) and support the finding of the present study.

In both groups, the effect of F. assafoetida was concentration-dependent and there were significant correlations between the values of EC$_{50}$ and plant concentrations which indicated a concentration-dependent effect for the plant.

The relaxant effect of the extract may be due to its constituents ambelliprenin and carvacrol because the relaxant effects of these two constituents on tracheal smooth muscle have been shown previously (28,29). The previous study showed that carvacrol has inhibitory effect on muscarinic receptors of tracheal smooth muscle (30). Therefore, carvacrol could contribute to observed relaxant effect of F. assafoetida gum extract.

**Conclusion**

Results of the present study showed parallel right-ward shift in the concentration-response curve of methacholine and achievement of maximum response in the presence of F. assafoetida which support the competitive antagonistic effect of F. assafoetida at muscarinic receptors. The absence of maximum response to methacholine in group 1, also suggest an inhibitory effect for the plant on histamine (H$_1$) receptors of tracheal smooth muscles.
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